

HUMAN CREMATORY

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO._____ AIRS ID#: 1050354 DATE: 12042009 ARRIVE: 0830 **DEPART: 1200** FACILITY NAME: DBA GENTRY-MORRISON FUNERAL HOME **FACILITY LOCATION:** 1833 S. Florida Ave. LAKELAND 33801 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LEWIS HALL **PHONE:** (863)688-7679 CONTACT NAME: Lew Hall **PHONE:** 863-688-7679 **ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 4/26/2007 / 4/26/2012 (effective date) (end date) **PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check **☑** only one box) ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE **▼**IN COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check **☑** appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?------ Yes ☒ No 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 30 days after the unit has commenced operation and annually thereafter (Rule 62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.)- X Yes No 4. Was all visible emissions testing conducted with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended capacity?------\bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No 6. Was the required test report filed with the Department as soon as practical, but no longer than 45 days after the test was completed?-----
Yes
No PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check **☑** appropriate box(es)) 1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record temperatures in the primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber combustion zone in a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?------<u>Under Review-----</u> \(\subseteq\) Yes \(\subseteq\) No b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such

measurements, maintenance, reports and records?

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. Cont.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	▼ Yes □ No
6) Adjustments	ĭXYes ☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	-⊠ □ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	-⊠Yes □ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) 🗵 ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
 a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F 	Yes No
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes U No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	
@ 1800° F?UNDER REVIEW	☐ Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	
process begins in the primary chamber?	
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	. ▼ Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	- □ No
this location?	□ ves X No
uns rocation.	— 163 — 110
PART IV: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
Is the crematory unit maintained in proper working order?	X _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there maintenance record kept onsite?	
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup,	
shutdown and malfunction?	⊠Yes □No

2 of 3 Revised 5/14/08

PART V: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul	e 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla		Yes	\mathbf{X}_{No}	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different				
recent notification form?		Yes	X_0	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,				
local program office?	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Yes	\square_{N_0}	
room program ourse.	_	100		
COMMENTS: 12/4/2009 Visited facility to perform a routine inspection, crematory initiative inspection and VE audit. Random spot check of Charts from 04/11, 04/2, 05/22, 05/29, 08/28, 08/11, 11/13, 11/20, 11/30 for the year 2009. Then charts dated 01/18, 0/9, 1/30, 2/20, 4/3, 5/25, 7/5, 7/18, 7/29, 8/22, 12/5, 12/31 for the year 2008 were checked for correct documentation. Using this checklist the facility seems to be in compliance at time of inspection. The crematory initiative inspection may or may not prove that the thermocouple(s) are properly placed. That will be addressed after the measurements are reviewed by Department Staff. Also previous enforcement action is waiting and those issues will be addressed at that time.				
Joseph V Panetta	12/04/2009			
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

3 of 3 Revised 5/14/08